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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this prospective clinical trial was to evaluate the performance of zirconia-based all-ceramic posterior fixed partial dentures (FPDs) after 4 years of clinical use.

Materials and Methods: Fifteen patients who needed 3- or 4-unit posterior FPDs were enrolled in the study. One manufacturer fabricated all restorations, following established clinical protocols and using computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing technology and one veneering material. Survival and success were evaluated clinically. Impressions were taken immediately after definitive cementation and after 48 months. Gold-coated epoxy replicas of the restorations were analyzed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Surface alterations were also evaluated clinically.

Results: After 48 months, the survival rate for the FPDs was 100%. Three restorations exhibited minor chipping, for a fracture rate of 20% after 4 years. Thirty (59%) of the 51 individual restoration units revealed clinically rough occlusal surfaces, a finding that was confirmed by SEM.

Conclusion: The placement of 3- and 4-unit zirconia-based posterior FPDs can be considered a reliable treatment modality for medium-term clinical use. However, surface alterations of the veneering ceramics were observed after 4 years and are of notable clinical concern.
restoration. In recent years, chipping of the porcelain veneer has been reported as the major drawback of zirconia frameworks, whereas fracture of oxide ceramic frameworks is rare. Vult von Steyern reported a fracture rate for minor chipping of 15% after 2 years of clinical use, but the fractures went unnoticed by patients. Raigrodski and colleagues reported chipping of veneer material after 3 years, primarily on the second molar, in 25% of 3-unit posterior FPDs. No delamination of the porcelain veneer and no framework fractures were noted. Molin and Karlsson observed no chipping of the veneer ceramics in zirconia FPDs with anatomically designed frameworks; however, the proportion of slightly rough or pitted occlusal surfaces increased to 30% in the study cohort after 5 years. In a meta-analysis comparing all-ceramic FPDs with metal–ceramic FPDs after 5 years, Sailer and colleagues calculated a fracture rate of 6.5% for the all-ceramic frameworks, 13.6% for all-ceramic veneer material, 1.6% for metal–ceramic frameworks and 2.9% for metal–ceramic veneering material.

Although clinical examination of the ceramic surface can be considered only a cursory investigative method, the creation of replicas for analysis of surface failures by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a useful approach. Until recently, however, the use of replicas for routine analysis of the ceramic surface and for precise assessment of alterations to the ceramic surface caused by clinical use has been rare.

The aim of this prospective clinical trial was to assess the long-term clinical performance of FPDs consisting of posterior zirconia frameworks with a corresponding ceramic veneer. On the basis of previous findings for zirconia restorations, the working hypothesis was that no framework fractures would be observed after medium-term use, but there would be some fracturing of the ceramic veneer and increasing surface roughness. Also, a replica technique for microscopic evaluation of the clinical wear of the veneer surface was tested.

Materials and Methods

This prospective study was conducted at Dental Clinic 2–Prosthodontics, Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany, and was approved by the local Institutional Review Board. A single dental technician performed by means of virtual technology, to ensure uniform thickness of the veneer material (Lava Frame Zirconia, 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany). All veneering was cemented with glass ionomer cement (Ketac-Cem, 3M ESPE).

Table 1 Results of assessment of veneered surface 48 months after placement of zirconia-based all-ceramic posterior fixed partial dentures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>No. (%) of units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>By clinical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth (adequate)</td>
<td>21 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly rough or pitted (inadequate)</td>
<td>30 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM = scanning electron microscopy.

These patients were part of a larger group of 30 patients for whom outcomes after 3 years were described in a previous report.

Each treatment was performed by 1 of 2 experienced clinicians according to established protocols for FPD restorations. Core build-ups (Clearfil Core, Kuraray Europe, Frankfurt/Main, Germany) or post-and-core restorations (Cerapost, Brasseler, Lemgo, Germany) were placed when clinically indicated, by means of an adhesive technique (Panavia 21 and ED Primer, Kuraray Europe). The preparation guidelines specified a 1.0-mm light chamfer preparation, a preparation line following the scalloped free gingival margin on sound tooth structure, an axial reduction of 1.5 mm with tapering of at least 4° and occlusal reduction of 1.5 to 2.0 mm.

After fabrication of a master cast and surface digitization, a custom anatomical framework was designed and manufactured by means of virtual technology, to ensure uniform thickness of the veneer material (Lava Frame Zirconia, 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany). All veneering was performed by a single dental technician according to established protocols and the manufacturer’s recommendations, with the corresponding feldspathic ceramic (Lava Ceram, 3M ESPE). All restorations were cemented with glass ionomer cement (Ketac-Cem, 3M ESPE).

Two dentists not involved in the restorative treatment independently examined the patients for material failures and biological complications at baseline and annually up to the 48-month follow-up appointment. Using a standard probe, they assigned a clinical rating to the surface: either smooth or slightly rough and pitted. In cases of disagreement, the lower rating was used.

Following definitive cementation and at the 48-month recall appointment, the ceramic surfaces were cleaned with alcohol, rinsed and air-dried. A 2-stage putty-wash technique (with Panasil kinetics putty soft and Panasil initial contact X-light, Kettenbach, Eschenburg, Germany), with stock trays (Breciform D, Bredent-medical, Senden, Germany), was used to obtain a silicone impression.
Cast fabrication with epoxy resin (Alpha Die top, Schütz-dental, Rosbach, Germany) and subsequent gold coating were performed to prepare the specimens for SEM. Replicas were analyzed and rated as smooth or rough.

Occlusal contact points were marked, and occlusal- and lateral-view photographs were obtained at baseline and at 48 months. The 2 sets of photographs were compared, and changes in the location and dimensions of occlusal contact points were noted. The analysis was limited to descriptive statistics.

Results

Six of the patients received a 4-unit FPD (with a mean intertooth distance of 16.8 mm), and 9 received a 3-unit FPD (with a mean intertooth distance of 12.5 mm). None of the bridges had to be replaced during the observation period of 48 months, which represented a survival rate of 100% for the zirconia frameworks. In 3 patients, minor cohesive chipping of the ceramic veneer was recorded during clinical examination, for a chipping rate of 20%.

Each restorative unit of each FPD was examined and rated individually for surface wear. Upon clinical examination, 30 (59%) of the 51 surfaces showed a visibly rough contour that was also detectable with a dental probe. By SEM analysis, 33 (65%) of the replicas revealed a rough-textured surface (Table 1). SEM showed a wear pattern with crystalline structure (Figs. 1 and 2). The surface of the teeth opposite each restoration was metal (19 [37%]), enamel (17 [33%]), amalgam (12 [24%]) or ceramic (3 [6%]). Relative to the baseline examination, occlusal contact points were altered, with respect to either site or surface area, for 28 (55%) of the 51 restoration units (Figs. 3 and 4).
veneer (Figs. 5 and 6). Fischer and colleagues\textsuperscript{22} observed a continuous decrease in the strength of ceramic veneer with increasing surface roughness. The veneering ceramic used for metal-based restorations and zirconia frameworks is mainly feldspathic porcelain.\textsuperscript{23,24} SEM analysis in the current study revealed considerable alteration of the feldspathic porcelain, with resultant exposure of the crystalline structure. The composition and microstructure of the zirconia veneering ceramic applied in this study may differ from those of conventional dental veneering materials used for PFM restorations. Also, the recommended firing temperature for Lava Ceram core material (3M ESPE) (i.e., 820°C) is far below the firing temperatures for conventional veneering ceramic.\textsuperscript{25}

Notably, the findings of roughness and pitting cannot be attributed solely to occlusal adjustment, as the rough areas were spread across the entire occlusal surface (Fig. 2). Clinical recommendations demand a perfectly polished surface after occlusal adjustments.\textsuperscript{26,27} Therefore, the increase in the size of occlusal contact points can be attributed to pronounced surface wear. Future alteration of the static and dynamic occlusion patterns might be an undesirable adverse effect in such cases.

According to Yip and colleagues,\textsuperscript{28} the lowest wear rates, comparable to those obtained for enamel, occur with restorations made from gold alloy (or other alloys with high content of noble metal), with the exact rates of wear depending on the specific type of alloy. In that study, the observed attrition of veneer resulted from contact between the occluding surfaces and was independent of the opposing dentition, whether enamel or gold alloy restoration. In an in vitro study, Jung and colleagues\textsuperscript{29}
found that the degradation in strength of veneering ceramics resulted from multicycle loading. Large numbers of contact cycles lead to radial and subsurface cracks, which limits the lifetime of the material. Conversely, cyclic fatigue testing has affirmed that the lifetime of veneer-framework systems consisting of feldspathic glass veneers and tough zirconia-based frameworks is more than 20 years, provided the bridge connector is properly designed.30

Despite the lack of quantitative measurements of clinical surface wear in the investigation reported here, SEM assessment of consistently prepared replicas of the restorations confirmed the clinical observations and demonstrated significant wear of occlusal surfaces with clinical use. Additional long-term clinical studies are needed to determine the durability of currently available ceramic veneers for zirconia frameworks and to obtain data on the most frequent clinical complications.

Within the limitations of this in vivo study, 2 main conclusions can be drawn. First, use of a zirconia framework for 3- or 4-unit posterior FPDs seems appropriate for a stress-bearing posterior location. Second, wear of the veneering ceramic, which became evident after medium-term clinical use, resulted in roughened occlusal surfaces and is of notable clinical concern.
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